1 Α. Correct. Also on the next page, your signature? 2 Ο. Α. Yes. 3 Q. When we go to attachment B, Bate Stamp 4 05516 and it goes through 05518, can you tell me 5 how it was that the financial statement or the 6 financial information was derived? 7 Α. From -- Buzz assumed all of the assets 8 and liabilities of U.S. Bell. 9 Was there a contract of some kind 10 11 whereby that took place? Α. That is one of the things that Russ 12 Millbranth was working on, on that previous memo 13 that we talked about. But it was put in writing 14 15 that that's how it worked. To my knowledge, I 16 don't remember seeing this specific document, but that was one of the things. 17 Q. The basic idea was that everything 18 that U.S. Bell had would be transferred lock, 19 stock and barrel to Buzz? 20 21 Α. Correct.

1	Q. And, I guess, not only everything, but
2	everybody. Is that why, with respect to the wage
3	and tax statements for the year 2002, that you
4	kindly brought it shows that you worked for
5	two different companies, one being U.S. Bell and
6	the other being Buzz Telecom?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. So at some point, your paychecks
9	stopped being U.S. Bell paychecks and became
10	Buzz Telecom paychecks?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. But nothing else changed?
13	A. Nothing else really changed, no. The
14	sign on the door.
15	Q. From U.S. Bell to Buzz Telecom?
16	A. Yes. But that was important because
17	of the issue with the Southwestern Bell because
18	they wanted to ensure that every bit of signage,
19	letterhead, et cetera was changed. So that was
2 0	mostly for the fulfillment of that obligation.
21	O. So that's why U.S. Bell has

1 disappeared from the face of the earth? 2 Α. Correct. It was a much better name 3 than Buzz. So when we go to Bate Stamp page 4 Ο. 05518, which is under the Buzz Telecom 5 profit-and-loss portion. And you go to total б income, is that the income that has come in with 7 8 respect to the sale of Business Options' 9 products? That would be my assumption, yes. 10 Α. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that 11 12 it's anything else? No. Again, if the agreements were 13 Α. written up as I understood them to be by Russ 14 15 Millbranth, it would have been the agreement for 16 Buzz to use the Business Options' licenses and agreements to derive income. 17 18 Q. Okay. But so far as you know, you've 19 never seen such a document? 20 Never saw that, no. Α. 21 Q. The next document I want to show you

is Bate Stamp 00692. The date is 10-02. The president is who?

A. Keanan.

- Q. And the cc for the COB, the COB is Kurtis?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So as of October of 2002, you knew at that point that you were going to be leaving the company's employ in the not too distant future?
- sometime in early September. The initial agreement was that I would stay on until the first of the year, 2003. That date was moved up to November 1 of 2002. And what I was trying to do is -- it looks you some things that I wrote up. I tried to -- the last month or so that I was there, for the most part just write up the things that I had been doing so the next person could do them. And I wanted to recommend to them how to replace me. So I thought that would be the most efficient way to do so.

Was there ever a discussion that your Ο. replacement should actually be an in-house 2 3 lawyer? There was. But I think there were Α. 4 5 financial considerations concerning, you know, they couldn't afford an attorney. 6 Do we understand the term "Pennywise 7 Ο. 8 and pound foolish?" Yes. I recommended a CPA because at 9 Α. that time, they were wanting me to work on a lot 10 of tax issues. They wanted to eliminate the CPA 11 that we were using. And at least someone who had 12 some kind of legal experiences. 13 14 0. Do you know whether or not your recommendations were followed? 15 Shannon, who was hired about a week 16 Α. before I left, had, I believe, some type of 17 experience as a legal aid or something like that. 18 I don't remember exactly what. There was no 19 accountant hired when I left. 20

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC.

(202) 628-DEPO (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376)

"We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

21

You probably made -- I think you just

made reference to or you eluded to the document that I'm now going to give you. It's dated 10-3-02. It's Bate Stamp Numbers 01004 through 01008.

1.6

A. This is a document I wrote that basically outlined everything that was out -- needed to be done. When I wrote this, my understanding was that I was staying until the first of the year. So this was basically a three-month outline of what I could complete or I felt I could come close to completing in that amount of time. As it turned out, I only had a few weeks to do what I could on here. And I spent much of my time writing up directives and policies that would allow someone else to do what I was doing.

Q. I sort of scanned through this. And certainly, you can take a look through it too.

In looking through it, I didn't see anything in here about Federal Universal Service.

A. I don't believe there is because that

```
was something Kurtis was going to handle.
believe that once we discussed it, I assumed that
he was going to handle it.
           Other than the preparation and filing
     Ο.
of that form, FCC form 499-A that we've already
seen?
     Α.
           Correct.
           Which occurred roughly about this
     Q.
time?
           Yes. A little bit after this. And
     Α.
that was -- there were many items that don't
appear on here that I completed during those last
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Q. This is dated October 7, 2002. And it looks like it's sent to the CA. It's Bate Stamp 00660.

few weeks that came up as a "Hey Bill, can you

get this done." So I would do it.

A. This is just him basically telling me not to work on all that other stuff. Instead, write up some directives and things. And once we have a replacement, you can go.



- Q. And as far as the reference here to Gene, that's Gene Chill?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Gene Chill is currently looking for a legal-type person and an CPA?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then once Gene has the replacement ready to start, you're to take two to three days to train them?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And that is what happened basically?
- A. I took a few days to train Shannon on some basics. And my departure date changed during this last month seven or eight times. And we finally got to the point where all of us were fed up with it changing, so we came up with a November 1 date no matter what. Believe me, they were trying to hire someone. Gene was working very hard. But they got Shannon, luckily, who I think is very capable. And I think what I actually got to train her on was handling some

```
complaints and doing the state, USF reports and
1
    that's about it. And I wrote up -- and I think I
2
    told her if you need any help, speak to Kurt or
3
    Keanan. And I gave her my phone number to call
4
    me. And I think she called me twice in the
5
     following couple weeks. And that was it.
6
                She did. What did she call you about?
          Q .
 7
                She called me to ask me where -- she
          Α.
 8
     called me to ask me what our tax ID number was.
 9
    And she called me to ask me where something was
10
     in the computer, a letter or something.
11
                Did she ever bring to your attention
12
     that the FCC had sent an inquiry that focussed on
13
     complaints from the Maine PUC?
14
                     I didn't have a phone call with
                No.
15
     her that was more than two minutes long.
16
                This is dated 10-14-02. It's Bate
          Q.
17
     Stamp 00664.
18
                This is just one of the hop, skip and
          Α.
19
     jump on my departure dates. The agreement that's
20
     eluded to is my confidentiality agreement that I
21
```

```
signed before I left. And payments for severance
1
    were in a severance package that they compiled
2
    for me that was initially going to be paid on a
3
    monthly basis over a few months. And they
4
    actually paid it all out at once.
5
                So in terms of who it's addressed to,
6
     the VPA is Gene Chill?
7
                Yes.
          Α.
8
                And corporate affairs is you?
 9
          ο.
                Correct.
          Α.
10
                You had made reference to a divisional
          Q.
11
     directive or divisional directives.
12
     documents I'm going to show you are not Bate
13
     Stamped. But they are dated at about the time
14
     that we're talking about here. This particular
15
     document is three pages in length. And it
16
     concerns tariffs.
17
                I'm familiar with this.
18
          Α.
                What is it?
          Ο.
19
                It's basically a simple directive on
20
          Α.
     how to create a tariff when we're getting
```

COURT REPORTERS, ETCetera, INC. (410) 653-1115 1-800-947-DEPO (3376) (202) 628-DEPO "We'll cover your job ANYWHERE in the country!"

21

```
licensed in a specific state.
1
                In other words, this would be like the
     set of instructions to whomever your successor
 3
     was going to be as to how to go about the
 4
     process?
 5
          Α.
                Correct.
 6
 7
          0.
                Okay. Because we don't have
     photocopying here and we don't have Bate Stamp
 8
     references. I think the way -- let's go off the
 9
     record for a second.
10
                (Discussion was held off the record.)
11
                So the document we just discussed is
12
          Ο.
     going to be made a part of the deposition as
13
     Exhibit No. 1. It's a three-page document, and
14
     Mr. Brzycki has indicated that he had prepared
15
     this document. And it's dated October 8, 2002,
16
     and it's entitled "Tariffs." I'm handing the
17
     only copy we have here to the court reporter.
18
                 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
19
     identification.)
20
                MR. SHOOK: I'm going to follow the
21
```

procedure for the next several documents. The 1 next document is a Buzz Telecom divisional 2 directive dated October 9, 2002. It is entitled 3 "Certificate of Authority." It's three pages in 4 Is this a document that you prepared? length. 5 Yes. Α. 6 And the purpose of it is to do what? Ο. 7 Again, to get a company licensed or to Α. 8 repair any problem that comes up with certificate 9 of authority in a given state. 10 When we reach the list of directors 11 Ο. that appear on the second page, there's reference 12 there to Kurtis and a reference to Keanan. 13 it has ownership figures. Do you know where you 14 got this information from in terms of the 15 percentages of their ownership? 16 That is, I believe, their ownership of Α. 17 Avatar and the ownership percentage stays the 18 same with some small exceptions. But I believe I 19 got that from Kurtis and Keanan. 2.0 We're going to make this MR. SHOOK: 21

```
three-page document Exhibit 2. I'm handing the
1
    only copy to the court reporter.
2
                (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
3
     identification.)
4
                BY MR. SHOOK:
5
                Next is a Buzz Telecom divisional
 6
     directive, dated October 10, 2002. And the title
 7
     is "Certificate of Public Necessity and
 8
     Convenience." It is three pages in length. Is
 9
     this a document that you prepared?
10
          Α.
                Yes.
11
                Again, for the purpose of educating
12
     your successor on what it is that he or she
13
     needed to do?
14
15
          Α.
                Correct.
                MR. SHOOK: I'm going to make this
16
     document Exhibit No. 3. I'm handing the only
17
     copy to the court reporter.
18
                 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
19
     identification.)
20
                BY MR. SHOOK:
21
```

```
1
          Q.
                Next is a Buzz Telecom divisional
    directive, dated October 14, 2002. It's entitled
2
     "NECA." It's two pages in length. You prepared
3
    this document?
4
                Yes.
5
          Α.
                And it was, again, for the purpose of
6
          Q.
 7
     educating your successor?
          Α.
                Correct.
 8
                There are some figures that appear on
 9
          Q.
     the second page. Apparently, they refer to an
10
     example, Kansas?
11
          Α.
                Yes.
12
                Where did those figures come from?
13
          Q.
                They are made up figures.
14
          Α.
                So they are just to be hypothetical?
15
          0.
                Yes. Any figures in any of these
16
          Α.
     documents are hypothetical just to put an example
17
18
     in.
                MR. SHOOK: This is going to be
19
     Exhibit No. 4. I'm handing the only copy to the
20
21
     court reporter.
```

```
(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
1
    identification.)
2
                BY MR. SHOOK:
3
                The next is Buzz Telecom divisional
         Ο.
4
    directive, dated October 15, 2002.
                                          It is
5
    entitled "Federal Licensing." Did you prepare
6
    this document?
7
               Yes, I did.
          Α.
8
               For the purpose of educating your
9
     successor?
10
          Α.
                Correct.
11
                MR. SHOOK: This is going to be
12
     Exhibit No. 5. It's one page in length. I'm
13
     handing it to the court reporter.
14
                (Exhibit No. 5 was marked for
15
     identification.)
16
                BY MR. SHOOK:
17
                The next document is Buzz Telecom
          0.
18
     divisional directive, dated October 15, 2002.
                                                      Ιt
19
     is entitled "Federal Reporting." And then in
20
     parenthesis, form 159. It's two pages in length
21
```

```
only because "Corporate Affairs" manages to carry
1
    over to the second page. Is this a document you
2
3
    prepared?
          Α.
                Yes.
 4
                For the purpose of educating your
 5
          0.
     successor?
 6
          Α.
                Yes.
 7
                MR. SHOOK: This will be Exhibit No.
 8
     6. And I'm handing the only copy to the court
 9
10
     reporter.
                (Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
11
     identification.)
12
                BY MR. SHOOK:
13
                Next is a Buzz Telecom divisional
14
          Q.
     directive, dated October 15, 2002. It is
15
     entitled "499 Reporting." It's three pages in
16
     length. You prepared this document?
17
          Α.
                Yes.
18
             For the purpose of educating your
          Ο.
19
     successor?
20
          Α.
                Correct.
21
```

```
MR. SHOOK: This will be deposition
1
2
    Exhibit No. 7.
                (Exhibit No. 7 was marked for
3
 4
     identification.)
                Buzz Telecom divisional directive,
5
     dated October 28, 2002. It's entitled "Annual
 6
     Reports." You prepared this document?
 7
          Α.
                Correct.
 8
                For the purpose of educating your
 9
          Ο.
     successor?
10
11
          Α.
                Correct.
                I notice this under "Business
12
          Q.
     Options, " the percentage figures for ownership
13
     differ slightly from that which we saw before.
14
     Specifically, it now shows Kurtis holding 70
15
     percent interest and Keanan holding a 28 percent
16
     interest. Do you know how it came do be that the
17
18
     figures changed from the last document where we
     saw such figures?
19
                The reason is because there were other
20
          Α.
     owners involved in Business Options when it was
21
```

started. And as those owners have dropped out, the ownership was purchased or separated 2 differently then it is now with Kurtis and 3 So if someone owned two or three percent Keanan. 4 and they wanted to sell, Keanan may have 5 purchased it to increase his ownership. 6 How is that you would have come to Ο. 7 know what these figures are to place them in this 8 directive? 9 I think I looked in the corporate Α. 10 books to check the ownership percentages. 11 So this was something you would have 12 Q. checked the corporate books for as opposed to 13 simply asking Kurtis and Keanan what their 14 15 ownership interests were? Just because I had the books in 16 Α. Yes. my office and I could just crack them open and 17 take a look at them. 18 MR. SHOOK: The two-page document is 19 going to be Exhibit 8. 20

(Exhibit 8 was marked for

21

identification.) 1 BY MR. SHOOK: 2 Finally, with respect to this area, at Q. 3 least, Buzz Telecom divisional directive, dated 4 October 28, 2002. It's entitled "Company 5 Relationships." And it's a two-page document. 6 Did you prepare this document? Α. Yes. 8 For the purpose of educating your 9 Q. successor? 1.0 Α. Correct. 11 In terms of the companies that are 12 Ο. listed here as being owned by Kurtis and Keanan, 13 we have Business Options Inc., we have Buzz 14 Telecom Corporation, we have U.S. Bell, we have 15 HBOS/Facilitel/ATS Services. And finally, we 16 have Galiant Inc., in parenthesis, it's TB-247. 17 How is it that you that you came to know that all 18 of these entities were companies owned by Kurtis 19 and Keanan Kintzel? 20 21 Several of them, I incorporated. And

```
the others, just through knowledge of the
1
2
    company.
                Were these entities -- were all of the
          Ο.
3
    entities ones for which you maintain any kind of
4
    corporate records or corporate books?
5
                The end of my tenure there, yes.
6
                The end of your tenure from about when
          Q.
7
     to when?
8
                April to October of 2002.
          Α.
9
                That period of time when you were
          Q.
10
     responsible for corporate affairs?
11
                Correct.
          Α.
12
                There are little write-ups that follow
1.3
          Ο.
     the question, "What are all of these companies
14
     and what do they do?" In terms of the
15
     information that appears for each of those
16
     companies, how did you derive that information?
17
                From knowledge of the company.
18
                Is what -- does the material in this
          Q.
19
     two-page document that details what it is the
20
     five different companies do, was that information
21
```

```
run by anybody, reviewed by anybody?
1
               No. Just my write-up.
2
                MR. SHOOK: I'd like this as Exhibit
3
    No. 9.
4
                (Exhibit No. 9 was marked for
5
     identification.)
 6
                BY MR. SHOOK:
 7
                This sort of drops back in time a
          Q.
 8
     little bit. The date is 10-15-02. It's Bate
 9
     Stamped 00659. And is this a document that you
10
     prepared?
11
12
          Α.
                Yes.
          Q. And you sent it to the president, who
13
14
     is Keanan?
15
          Α.
                Correct.
          Q. And what is it that you're letting
16
     Keanan know about?
17
                I'm trying to let him know where
18
     everything is as my office exists. So if he
19
     needs to come in and pull some information, he
20
     can do so.
21
```

```
So this is just pretty much where
1
          Q.
2
     files are?
                He had asked me where a few things
3
          Α.
     were just before that. So I thought I'd write it
4
     all down for him to make it simple.
5
                And it was at this point in time,
 6
     October of 2002, that Keanan was coming into the
 7
     office at least on a part-time basis?
 8
                Correct.
 9
          Α.
                He was still recovering from whatever
10
          Q.
     the ailment was that he had?
11
          Α.
                Yes.
12
                Ultimately, you left the employ of
13
          Q.
     Buzz Telecom pursuant to a severance agreement,
14
15
     correct?
                Correct.
16
          Α.
                And the basic idea of this agreement,
17
          Q.
18
     without going into the specific terms, was a
     mutual parting of the ways?
19
          Α.
                That's correct.
20
                And that you received certain benefits
21
```

at the time you left?

1.3

- A. Correct.
- Q. And there were -- what relationship, if any, were you going to maintain with the Kurtis and Keanan Kintzel companies following your departure from their employ?
- A. I had no intention of maintaining any certain relationship. I made myself available for a month or so if I was needed. I think they actually asked me to make myself available for 90 days if any training was required. And they would call me in. And they would pay me to come in and train somebody if it was needed. I never got calls to do so. The only calls I received, as stated previously, were a couple phone calls from Shannon just asking me some basic questions. But I had no intention really of continuing any relationship other than, you know, if I saw them on the street, "How are you doing?"
- Q. Would you characterize the parting as amicable or less than amicable?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. I would say amicable.
- Q. And by "amicable," I'm using one of my fancy words to mean at least somewhat friendly.
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
  - Q. As opposed to ugly?
  - A. Not ugly at all.
- Q. And what contact, if any, have you had with Kurtis Kintzel subsequent to your departure from the employ?
- Thanksgiving. We've e-mailed back and forth a few times concerning things that are e-mailed to me from the states. I spoke to him yesterday about coming in today just to -- he sent me an e-mail about it. He said, "I was in there for about eight hours. So be ready to be in there for a while." And I called to say, "What?" And he just told me, "I was in there for a while, so you should expect to be there well into the afternoon." And that's really about it.
  - Q. Have you had any such contacts with



A. I met Keanan one morning. I bumped into him at Starbucks and talked to him for about an hour or so. Right over here on Route 30. I think I also saw him at the restaurant on Thanksgiving. That's the only contact.

- Q. Have you had any contact with Gene Chill?
  - A. No.
- Q. Sounds like you didn't miss it?
  - A. I saw him here yesterday. That's about it.

Q. We're getting close to the end, I promise. We had talked briefly about the situation that existed in the State of Vermont.

And I suppose I could have tried to weave that in while we were going through chronologically. But I'm just handling it separately here. So I want to step back in time a little bit now to May of 2002. I'm showing you a document that is Bate Stamp 08076.